

## Example Rubric: Peer Observation of Presentation

Criteria	Excellent (A1 to A5) 82 - 100%	Very Good (B1 to B3) 68 - 81%	Good (C1 to C3) 55 - 67%	Pass (D1 to D3) 41 - 54%	Weak (E1 to E3) 27 - 40%	Poor (F1 to F3) 14 - 26%	Very Poor (G1 to G3) 0 - 13%
<b>Content</b> 20% of total mark	<b>82 - 100%</b> Interesting, highly relevant and appropriate content. Ideal level of detail throughout. Excellent coverage of the topic and all aspects covered	<b>68 - 81%</b> Very relevant and appropriate content. Appropriate level of detail throughout. Very good coverage of the topic and virtually all aspects covered.	<b>55 - 67%</b> Relevant and appropriate content. Appropriate level of detail in most places. Good coverage of the topic and most aspects covered.	<b>41 - 54%</b> Mostly relevant and appropriate content. Reasonable level of detail in most places. Satisfactory coverage of the topic and most aspects covered adequately	<b>27 - 40%</b> Some irrelevant or inappropriate content. Insufficient or excessive level of detail in most places. Weak coverage of the topic and many aspects not adequately covered.	<b>14 - 26%</b> Lots of irrelevant or inappropriate content. Insufficient or excessive level of detail throughout. Poor coverage of the topic and most aspects not covered.	<b>0 - 13%</b> Token or no presentation
<b>Understanding</b> 20% of total mark	<b>82 - 100%</b> Excellent understanding of topic/content/ meaning. Excellent answers to questions.	<b>68 - 81%</b> Very good understanding of topic/content/ meaning. Very good answers to questions.	<b>55 - 67%</b> Good understanding of topic/content/ meaning. Good answers to questions.	<b>41 - 54%</b> Satisfactory understanding of topic/content/ meaning. Satisfactory answers to most questions.	<b>27 - 40%</b> Limited understanding of topic/content /meaning. Weak answers to questions.	<b>14 - 26%</b> Poor understanding of topic/content/ meaning. Unable to answer questions.	<b>0 - 13%</b> Token or no presentation
<b>Structure &amp; Timing</b> 20% of total mark	<b>82 - 100%</b> Excellent structure with very clear linkages between all sections. Adheres completely to prescribed format. Kept perfectly to time limit, clearly designed presentation with time limit in mind whilst losing no communication of information.	<b>68 - 81%</b> Very good structure with clear linkages between sections. Adheres to prescribed format. Awareness of time limit, clearly designed presentation with time limit in mind, kept to time limit.	<b>55 - 67%</b> Good structure with clear linkages between most sections. Adheres to prescribed format. Awareness of time limit, kept roughly to time limit.	<b>41 - 54%</b> Satisfactory structure with some effort to make linkages between sections. Largely adheres to prescribed format. May have gone slightly over/under time limit, but acceptable	<b>27 - 40%</b> Unsatisfactory structure with very little/no linkages between sections. Some attempt to adhere to prescribed format. Went over/under time limit.	<b>14 - 26%</b> No clear structure, random and messy. Little attempt to adhere to prescribed format. Substantially over/under time limit.	<b>0 - 13%</b> Token or no presentation
<b>Presentation Skills</b> 20% of total mark	<b>82 - 100%</b> Excellent delivery. Excellent tempo. Interesting, energetic and stimulating delivery, maintaining audience interest throughout. Very clear speech with varied tone. Language use perfectly suited for audience. Excellent eye contact and engagement with audience.	<b>68 - 81%</b> Very good delivery. Good tempo. Interesting and energetic delivery of content. Clear speech. Language use well suited for audience. Very good eye contact and engagement with audience.	<b>55 - 67%</b> Good delivery. Appropriate tempo, not too fast or slow. Audible. Language use appropriate for audience. Satisfactory eye contact with audience.	<b>41 - 54%</b> Satisfactory delivery. Too fast or slow in places. Mostly audible. Language use mostly adequate for audience. Some eye contact with audience.	<b>27 - 40%</b> Weak delivery. Much too fast or slow. Inaudible in places. Language use inappropriate for audience. Unsatisfactory eye contact /little attempt to engage audience.	<b>14 - 26%</b> Poor delivery. Tempo completely inappropriate. Inaudible or jumbled speech. Language use completely unsuitable for audience. No attempt to engage audience or to use eye contact.	<b>0 - 13%</b> Token or no presentation

<b>Slides/Supportive Material</b> <b>20% of total mark</b>	<b>82 - 100%</b> (If appropriate), slides / supportive materials / visual aids excellent.	<b>68 - 81%</b> (If appropriate), slides / supportive materials / visual aids very good.	<b>55 - 67%</b> (If appropriate), slides / supportive materials / visual aids good.	<b>41 - 54%</b> (If appropriate), slides / supportive materials / visual aids satisfactory	<b>27 - 40%</b> (If appropriate), slides / supportive materials / visual aids weak.	<b>14 - 26%</b> (If appropriate), slides / supportive materials / visual aids poor.	<b>0 - 13%</b> Token or no presentation
---	--	---	--	---	--	--	--